

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/696,826	10/25/2000	William M. Clark, Jr.	B-3650 617089-5	4721	
75	590 12/09/2002				
Richard P Berg Esq LADAS & PARRY 5670 Wilshire Boulevard Suite 2100 Los Angeles, CA 90036-5679			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
			TRAN, THIEN F		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
<i>3</i> , 1			2811		
			DATE MAILED: 12/09/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				m		
		Application No.	plicant(s)	C		
Supplemental	Advisory Action	09/696,826	CLARK, JR. ET AL.			
	-	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Thien F Tran	2811			
Th N	MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover she t with the c	correspond nce add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 29 October 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
	PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). 						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) 🛭 they	raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NO	TE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
	avit or exhibit will NOT be considered be the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we' paviially	ere newly		
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The statu	s of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:				
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>18</u> .						
Claim(s)	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8,15-17 and 19-22</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
10. Other:						
		TO	m thomas			
			Y PATENT FXAMINER	7		
J.S. Patent and Trademark	Office	TECH/2017	187 (EMER 2800 -			

Continuation Sh et (PTO-303) 009/696,826



Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment will be partially entered with respect to claims 2, 6 and 18. Claim 22 appears to change the scope of the claim, therefore, it will not be entered.

Continuation of 5. NOTE: The rejections in Paper No. 10 mailed Aug. 27, 2002 remain applicable and are maintained.